

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION

STRIKEFORCE TECHNOLOGIES,
INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SECUREAUTH CORPORATION,

Defendant.

) Civil Action No.
) 2:17-cv-04314-JAK-SK

) **FINAL JUDGMENT**

) **JS-6**

1 On July 17, 2017, StrikeForce Technologies, Inc. (“StrikeForce”) filed
2 a First Amended Complaint accusing SecureAuth Corporation
3 (“SecureAuth”) of infringing Claims 1, 7, 11, 17-19, 21, 22, 28, 31, 37, and
4 38 of U.S. Patent 7,870,599, Claims 1-6, 8, 10-16, 20-22, 46-48, and 50-54 of
5 U.S. Patent 8,484,698, and Claims 1, 7, 11, and 17-19 of U.S. Patent
6 8,713,701 (the “Asserted Claims”).

7 On July 21, 2017, SecureAuth filed a Motion to Dismiss on the basis
8 that the Asserted Claims were all invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

9 On December 1, 2017, the Court issued an Order granting
10 SecureAuth’s Motion to Dismiss with prejudice thereby invalidating all
11 Asserted Claims under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

12 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED THAT:**

13 1. Judgment is hereby entered in favor of SecureAuth and against
14 StrikeForce as to the invalidity of the Asserted Claims;

15 2. StrikeForce shall take nothing and all claims asserted by
16 StrikeForce against SecureAuth in this action are dismissed with prejudice;

17 3. SecureAuth may seek to recover costs in the sum of an amount
18 to be determined by the filing and approval of the appropriate application.
19 The amount determined may be subject to a tax and/or interest at the legal
20 rate as provided by law; and

21 4. Because no claims remain in this action, the Court directs the
22 Clerk to enter this Final Judgment as set forth above pursuant to Federal Rule
23 of Civil Procedure 58.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25
26 Dated: December 28, 2017



27 JOHN A. KRONSTADT
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE